Gmail György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com>

Re: URGENT: Final Status Request – 100% Proven GDPR Violation by Google [Ticket#8340769]

1 üzenet

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> 2
Címzett: info@noyb.eu, Kleanthi Sardeli | noyb - Legal Requests <legalrequests@noyb.eu>

Subject: URGENT UPDATE: Google's Formal Admission of GDPR Article 17 Violation (Issue Tracker #552682596)

Dear NOYB Legal Team,

I am writing to provide a critical update regarding my pending GDPR enforcement complaint against Google LLC concerning the data retention fraud in Google AI Studio.

Summary of developments:
Following my formal complaint, I escalated the matter through Google's internal Buganizer (VRP) system (Issue #552682596). The Google Security Team has now provided a formal, written admission confirming that user data is intentionally retained on their backend infra
the user executes a "Permanent Delete" request and empties their trash bin.

Key Evidence:
1. The Admission: Google officially marked my report as "Intended Behavior" and stated in writing: "This applies even if the data persists on our backend systems in a way that allows for recovery by the legitimate owner."
2. The Contradiction: By marking the issue as "Intended Behavior," Google has formally admitted that their backend infrastructure is hardcoded to violate GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure) as a core operational policy.
3. The Proof: I have provided irrefutable forensic evidence (videos and technical logs) demonstrating that after "permanent deletion," the data remains fully functional and instantly resurrectable via Google's own recovery tools.

Status:
Today, August 26, 2026, I have officially served a Letter Before Action to the Google Ireland Data Protection Officer (DPO), demanding a legal basis for this systematic data retention and an immediate implementation of cascade deletion. They have been given a strict 14-
this matter before I proceed with formal civil litigation.

Request:
Given this new written confession from Google, I request that you incorporate these official admissions into the ongoing enforcement complaint. This case is no longer based on technical speculation; it is based on the data controller's own written policy of non-compliance

I have archived all public and internal evidence here:
- My Technical Proof: https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721
- Public Archive: https://archive.ph/silpd

Please let me know if you require any further documentation or the full email logs from the Google Buganizer system to proceed with the legal enforcement.

Sincerely,

György Istókovics (Bitu79)
istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 26., Sze 14:11):

> Subject: Update on Google AI Studio GDPR Case (Issue 552682596) - DPO Officially Notified
>
> Dear NOYB Legal Team,
>
> I am providing a critical update regarding the Google AI Studio backend data retention evidence package I previously submitted.
>
> Today (August 26, 2026), I have formally served a Letter Before Action to the Data Protection Officer (DPO) of Google Ireland Limited. I have given them a strict 14-day legal deadline to clarify the legal basis for retaining deleted conversational data on their backend sys
>
> Given their official Buganizer response stating this systematic retention is "Intended Behavior," they will almost certainly choose strategic silence or fail to provide a legally valid answer.
>
> This 14-day notice serves to exhaust all internal corporate channels and establishes definitive proof of intentional non-compliance for our upcoming civil litigation. All public forum posts and tickets have been permanently archived (Archive.ph link: https://archive.ph).
>
> I will keep you updated the moment the deadline expires.
>
> Sincerely,
> György Istókovics (Bitu79)
> istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com
>
> https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721
>
> https://archive.ph/silpd
>
> György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 26., Sze 6:58):
>
> > No matter what garbage Google claims, the logical chain is completely irrefutable:
> > The user clicks the "Permanent Delete" button.

The user "Empties the trash."
Legally: At this exact point, the data must be completely destroyed under GDPR Article 17.
The reality (My proof): I take Google's own recovery tool, and I bring the entire thing back from the dead.
This is the ultimate technical proof that the deletion never actually happened. If data can be recovered, then that data exists. If it exists, Google lied about the deletion and violated the law.
Google's response is completely absurd because they actually think they can defend themselves by saying, "but only you could bring it back." That is not a defense; that is a confession. They officially admitted that their systems are hardcoded to retain the data even
command ("persists on our backend"). This is literally what those jackasses wrote: "Although it may seem surprising, the behavior you described—where you can restore supposedly deleted chat data using Google Drive File Recovery—is actually working as intendec
perspective." xD

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 26., Sze, 6:36):

Here is my post on Google's forum, which they are fully aware of, especially since I tagged them!

https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/caught-by-the-anchor-how-restoring-the-json-exposes-googles-backend-fraud/179721

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 26., Sze, 6:32):

Official Complaint: Violation of GDPR Article 17 – Evidence of Google AI Studio Data Retention Fraud

Dear NOYB Legal Team,

I am contacting you because, as an independent developer (username: Bitu79), I have discovered irrefutable, ironclad physical evidence that Google LLC is intentionally sabotaging users' Right to Erasure (GDPR deceiving them with a visual lie.

Today, on August 26, 2026, I publicly released my technical proof on the Google AI Developers forum, which exposes this systemic fraud in black and white.

The core of the evidence relies on just two simple facts:

1. The JSON is not the prompt: When Google AI Studio saves a chat to Google Drive, that .json file is NOT the prompt or the conversation itself. It is merely a client-side anchor (pointer) containing the interface identification keys. The actual prompt (the conversation) resides on Google's backend servers.
2. Restoring the JSON brings back the full prompt: When a user clicks the "Delete" button and empties the trash, Google creates the illusion that the data has been destroyed. However, if we retrieve this delete the official Google Drive File Recovery tool, refreshing AI Studio instantly resurrects the entire conversation down to the exact last token, fully live from Google's servers.

The irrefutable conclusion:
This experiment physically proves that Google does not delete a single byte of the prompts and chats from its backend servers when the "Delete" button is pressed. If Google had genuinely deleted the prompt from restoring the plain JSON pointer would leave AI Studio with nothing to read, and the chat would remain dead. Since the prompt instantly returns to life, it proves in black and white that Google illegally retains the da the background.

🚨 GOOGLE'S OFFICIAL CONFIRMATION: The Bug Hunter Team Formally Admits the Deception and Server-Side Data Retention! 🚨

The legal and technical cage has officially closed. Google's Bug Hunter Team (buganizer-system@google.com) has officially responded to my security report (Issue 552682596) and marked it as "Intended Behavic Functionality".

In doing so, Google has provided irrefutable, written corporate evidence that their backend is hardcoded to violate GDPR Article 17 (Right to Erasure).

Here is the exact, translated text of Google's official confession from the Issue Tracker pipeline:

"Although it may seem surprising, the behavior you described—where you can restore supposedly deleted chat data using Google Drive File Recovery—is actually working as intended from a VRP security perspe rules clarify that demonstrating a user's ability to access or recover their own data generally does not qualify as a security vulnerability. This remains true even if the data persists on our backend systems in a manr recovery by the legitimate owner."

The Ultimate Exposure:

1. They Formally Confessed to Backend Persistence: Google explicitly admits that when you click "Delete" and empty the trash, the data "persists on our backend systems". They formally confirmed that the pro purged from the infrastructure upon user request.
2. They Confessed to Soft-Deletion Fraud: Google claims this is a "Targeted Feature" and "Intended Behavior". By their own admission, the "Delete" button does absolutely nothing to erase backend cloud data; the JSON shortcut from the UI while keeping the server-side object fully alive and operational.
3. The Total Defeat of the "Stateless" Narrative: For weeks, their automated support systems and forum apologists lied to developers, claiming the Interactions API is purely stateless and stores nothing on the s own VRP triage team just officially shot down their own defense, explicitly stating that backend data persistence is a pre-designed, intentional core function of their network.

Google didn't fix the bug because it isn't a bug. The data logging and structural non-compliance with the Right to Erasure is a deliberate, engineered corporate policy. I am directly attaching this automated loop cor
ongoing GDPR enforcement complaint filed with NOYB.

Re: Issue 552682596: PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown)

Convert to F

Beérkező levelek ×

buganizer-system@google.com
címzett: b-system+2090987014, én

4:10 (2 órával ezelőtt)

https://issuetracker.google.com/issues/552682596

Changed
component: 310426 → 310543
status: New → Intended Behavior

sp...@google.com added comment #3:

Hi! We've reviewed your submission regarding data deletion in Google AI Studio and Google Drive. Although it may seem surprising, the behavior you're describing, where you can recover your own supposedly deleted chat data via Google Drive File actually working as intended from a security VRP perspective.

Our VRP rules on 'Intended Functionality' and 'Non-sensitive information disclosure' clarify that demonstrating the ability for a user to access or recover their *own* data, especially when they previously had access to it, is generally not considered a se vulnerability. This applies even if the data persists on our backend systems in a way that allows for recovery by the legitimate owner. For more context, you can refer to our VRP rules on the Google and Alphabet Vulnerability Reward Program and AI Reward Program, specifically the sections on 'Intended Functionality' and 'Prior Access' in the Non-sensitive information disclosure article.

If you think we've misunderstood your report, and you can show a well-defined security risk where an *unauthorized* third party gains access to data they shouldn't, please let us know what we missed.

Thanks again for your report and time,
The Google Bug Hunter Team

Reference Info: 552682596 PROOF: Google AI Studio & Google Drive NEVER Actually Delete Your Chats! (Technical Breakdown)
component: 310543
status: Intended Behavior
reporter: istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com
cc: istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com, wo...@google.com
type: Customer Issue
access level: Default access
priority: P4
severity: S4
retention: Component default

Generated by Google IssueTracker notification system.

You're receiving this email because you have the following role(s) on the issue: cc, reporter
Unsubscribe from this issue.

György Istókovics <istokovicsgyorgy79@gmail.com> ezt írta (időpont: 2026. aug. 23., V, 23:23):

> Dear Kleanthi and the NOYB Legal Team,
>
> I am writing to you because I need a clear, definitive answer regarding my case. To be completely honest, I feel like my time is being wasted with unnecessary delays, and this is not a game.
>
> I have provided you with 100% irrefutable, reproducible technical evidence that Google is committing massive data fraud and actively violating GDPR (Article 17 - Right to Erasure).
>
> I strongly request that your team thoroughly reads my two latest, definitive posts. They contain the absolute proof of the data fraud:
>
> **1. The Technical Breakdown (Proof that deletion is a UI illusion):**
> https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/proof-google-ai-studio-google-drive-never-actually-delete-your-chats-technical-breakdown/179343
> *(This proves that Google only deletes a JSON "pointer" in Drive, while the actual prompt data remains permanently orphaned and fully active on Google's backend servers.)*
>
> **2. The Admission (Google's own AI admits the lie):**
> https://discuss.ai.google.dev/t/exposed-google-s-own-ai-admits-it-lied-about-deleted-ai-studio-chats-proof-with-pdf-video-and-screenshots/179365
> *(This proves that after confronting Google's AI Overview with my findings, it apologized, admitted that the "Delete" button only hides the access while keeping the backend intact, and generated a PDF document verifying my claims.)*
>
> The technical investigation is complete. The fraud is proven in black and white. Google does not delete user data; they merely hide it from the UI.
>
> I need a straightforward answer from NOYB at this point:
> **Is NOYB officially taking legal action against Google based on this "smoking gun" evidence?**
>
> - If **YES**, please let me know what the concrete next steps are.
> - If **NO**, or if you do not have the capacity to handle this level of technical GDPR violation, please state it clearly.
>
> If I do not receive a definitive answer, I will consider our correspondence closed and will proceed to take this directly to the National Data Protection Authorities (NAIH) and global tech media on my own.
>
> I look forward to your final and clear response.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> György Istókovics

Screenshot_20260826_154709_Gmail.jpg
1639K